for the total of that year and the 4 succeeding fiscal years.<sup>274</sup> Subparagraph (A)<sup>275</sup> shall not apply to any bill, resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report that is within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Appropriations.<sup>276</sup> In applying this paragraph<sup>277</sup>—

<sup>277</sup>(...continued) would exceed either. See infra p. 709...

Before enactment of the Budget Enforcement Act, if a committee had not yet filed its allocation under section 302(b), no point of order could lie under section 302(f), because no basis would exist on which to determine whether the Committee had exceeded its allocation. In such a case, the point of order would lie under section 302(c). 132 CONG. REC. S10,693 (1986); Senate Precedent PRL19860807-003 (Aug. 7, 1986) (LEGIS, Rules database). This precedent may have continuing application only for appropriations, which the last sentence of this paragraph (see infra p. 102) exempts from the point of order against exceeding the budget resolution's allocation to a committee.

- Section 13303(c)(2) of the Budget Enforcement Act added the words "or provides for social security outlays in excess of the appropriate allocation of social security outlays under subsection (a) for the fiscal year of the resolution or for the total of that year and the 4 succeeding fiscal years." See infra p. 757.
- There are two subparagraphs (A) in this paragraph, above and below. This reference relates to the subparagraph (A) above. See supra p. 98. Section 13112(a)(7) of the Budget Enforcement Act added both this sentence and the letter (A) above. See infra p. 709.
- Section 13112(a)(7)(C) of the Budget Enforcement Act added this sentence. See infra p. 709.

The drafters of the Budget Enforcement Act thus intended that an appropriations bill would be subject to the point of order for exceeding the appropriate subcommittee allocation under section 302(b), but not for exceeding the full committee's allocation under section 302(a). The drafters intended this result notwithstanding the language of section 602(c), which could be read to cause some ambiguity on this point. See infra note 886. Note, however, that section 601(b) creates much the same result, at least for fiscal years through 1998, by providing a point of order for exceeding the total of all discretionary spending. See infra p. 303.

Section 13303(c)(3) of the Budget Enforcement Act added the balance of this paragraph. See infra p. 757.