

---

**IN THE SENATE. — It shall not be in order<sup>375</sup> in the Senate to vote on the question of agreeing to —**

§ 305(d)(1)

**(1) a concurrent resolution on the budget unless the figures then contained in such resolution are mathematically consistent;<sup>376</sup> or**



§ 305(d)(2)

**(2) a conference report on a concurrent report on a concurrent resolution on the budget unless the figures contained in such resolution, as recommended in such conference report, are mathematically consistent.**

---

<sup>374</sup>(...continued)

Houses, then the conferees shall submit to their respective Houses, on the first day thereafter on which their House is in session —

**(1) a conference report recommending those matters on which they have agreed and reporting in disagreement those matters on which they have not agreed; or**

**(2) a conference report in disagreement, if the matter in disagreement is an amendment which strikes out the entire text of the concurrent resolution and inserts substitute text.**

Congress honored this 7-day rule in the breach, and it may well have been unenforceable.

<sup>375</sup> Congressional Budget Act prohibitions are not self-enforcing, and require points of order from the floor for their enforcement. Cf. *supra* note 293 (regarding section 303(a)).

<sup>376</sup> For a discussion of mathematical consistency, see *supra* note 363.

Note that section 305(b)(6) makes in order amendments that make or maintain mathematical consistency. See *supra* p. 138.