

**Funds made available for obligation under this procedure may not be proposed for rescission again.<sup>1026</sup>**

---

<sup>1025</sup>(...continued)

Cong., 1st Sess., 135 CONG. REC. S283-84 (daily ed. Jan. 25, 1989) (Sen. Roth, "To provide the President with line-item veto authority"); S. 155, 101st Cong., 1st Sess., 135 CONG. REC. S500-01 (daily ed. Jan. 25, 1989) (Sen. Armstrong, "To amend the Impoundment Control Act of 1974 to provide for enhanced rescission procedures"); S. 207, 101st Cong., 1st Sess., 135 CONG. REC. S614-17 (daily ed. Jan. 25, 1989) (Sen. Dixon, "To amend the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 to require expeditious consideration by the Congress of a proposal by the President to rescind all or part of any item of budget authority if the proposal is transmitted to the Congress on the same day on which the President approves the bill or joint resolution providing such budget authority"); S. 829, 101st Cong., 1st Sess., 135 CONG. REC. S4183-84 (daily ed. Apr. 18, 1989) (Sen. Roth, "To provide the President with enhanced rescission authority at such time as the debt of the United States Government held by the public exceeds \$2,378,000,000,000"); S. 1553, 101st Cong., 1st Sess., 135 CONG. REC. S10,293-96 (daily ed. Aug. 4, 1989) (Sen. Coats, "To grant the power to the President to reduce budget authority").

<sup>1026</sup> Section 207 of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987, entitled "Clarification of Congressional Intent Regarding Rescission Authority," added the sentence "Funds made available for obligation under this procedure may not be proposed for rescission again." Pub. L. No. 100-119, § 207, 101 Stat. 754, 786 (1987). The joint statement of managers accompanying the conference report on that bill explained:

**10. Clarification of Congressional Intent  
Regarding Rescission Authority**

*Current Law*

Section 1012(b) of the 1974 Impoundment Control Act empowers the President to withhold spending appropriated funds during a period of 45 days of continuous session while Congress considers a rescission proposal. Under General Accounting Office interpretations which allow preparation time for the submittal message, and because certain days are not counted as days of continuous session, rescission proposals sometimes result in appropriated funds being withheld for up to 75 or more calendar days. Serial proposals covering the same subject matter have the effect of extending indefinitely the period of unavailability.

*Senate Amendment*

The Senate amendment (Section 230) adds language to Section 1012(b) to prohibit the Executive practice of submitting serial rescission messages covering similar matter when Congress fails to act on such proposals within the statutory 45-day period. The Senate amendment limits the

(continued...)