

a special message transmitted by the President under section 1012<sup>1066</sup> or 1013,<sup>1067</sup> and, for purposes of this part, such report shall be considered a special message transmitted under section 1012<sup>1068</sup> or 1013.<sup>1069</sup>

§ 1015(b)

**(b) INCORRECT CLASSIFICATION OF SPECIAL MESSAGE.** — If the President has transmitted a special message to both Houses of Congress in accordance with section 1012<sup>1070</sup> or 1013,<sup>1071</sup> and the Comptroller General believes that the President so transmitted the special message in accordance with one of those sections when the special message should have been transmitted in accordance with the other of those sections, the Comptroller General shall make a report to both Houses of the Congress setting forth his reasons.<sup>1072</sup>

---

<sup>1066</sup> See *supra* pp. 378-380.

<sup>1067</sup> See *supra* pp. 381-384.

<sup>1068</sup> See *supra* pp. 378-380.

<sup>1069</sup> See *supra* pp. 381-384.

<sup>1070</sup> See *supra* pp. 378-380.

<sup>1071</sup> See *supra* pp. 381-384.

<sup>1072</sup> Section 206(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987, entitled "Codification of Law Regarding Deferral Authority," provides: "Sections 1015 and 1016 of the Impoundment Control Act of 1974 are reaffirmed." Pub. L. No. 100-119, § 206(c), 101 Stat. 754, 786 (1987). The joint statement of managers accompanying the conference report on the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 explained:

9. Codification of Law Regarding Deferral Authority

*Current Law*

The Supreme Court in *Immigration and Naturalization v. Chadha*, 462 U.S. 919 (1983), held legislative vetoes unconstitutional. Applying *Chadha*, the Court of Appeals in *City of New Haven v. United States*, 809 F. 2d 900 (D.C. Cir. 1987), struck down Section 1013 of the 1974 Impoundment Control Act, dealing with deferrals . . . .

(continued...)